

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/785,785	02/16/2001	Leon P. Janik	STAN/322/US	3391
2543 7	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			CECIL, TERRY K	
750 MAIN ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD,	CT 06103		1723	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/785,785	JANIK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	Iress				
Period for Reply	N V IO CET TO EVDIDE 4 M	IONTU(S) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23							
	his action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	lrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alaction requirement						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core			R 1 121(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
The dath of declaration is objected to by the	Examinor. Note the attacks						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	l li a calla a						
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ul>		Application No.					
			Stage				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bur</li></ul>		11 100011 0					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.					
	•						
Attachment(s)	4) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	150)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/785,785

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a base module, classified in class 210, subclass 437.
  - II. Claims 21-28, drawn to a method for manufacturing a base module, classified in class 210, subclass 542.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of Invention II be used to make a different product—e.g. one that does not require the skirt nor the inlet and outlet connectors that extend axially away from the receptacle of Invention I.
- Restriction for examination purposes is proper because of the reasons given above and also because (i) they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, (ii) the search required for the respective groups is not necessarily required by each of the other groups, and (iii) their subject matter is recognized as divergent.
- 3. This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/785,785

Art Unit: 1723

• Species I, claims 1-10 geared to the embodiment best shown in figures 8-10.

• Species II, claims 11-20 geared to the embodiment best shown in figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, No claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/785,785

Art Unit: 1723

- 4. A telephone call was made to Thomas Menard on 3-1-2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made (Mr. Menard requested a written restriction). Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/785,785 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

6. Contact Information:

• Examiner Mr. Terry K. Cecil can be reached at (571) 272-1138 at the Carlisle campus in

Alexandria, Virginia for any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier

communications from the examiner. Note that the examiner is on the increased flextime

schedule but can normally be found in the office during the hours of 8:30a to 4:30p, on at

least four days during the week M-F.

• Wanda Walker, the examiner's supervisor, can be reached at (571) 272-1151 if attempts

to reach the examiner are unsuccessful.

• The Fax number for this art unit for official faxes is 703-872-9306.

• Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mr. Terry K. Cecil Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1723

TKC March 1, 2004

W